

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

09/846,004

**Applicant(s)**

KRUPP ET AL.

**Examiner**

Lee Lum

**Art Unit**

3611

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) L Lum - examiner

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) L Begin - atty.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 February 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jorgensen.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see Addendum.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

**Addendum to Interview Summary**

Mr. Begin called about 2/9/04 to discuss the last Office Action mailed 12/12/03. He indicated that Jorgensen was inapplicable to at least Claims 1-4 because the "ignition body 7/8" did not "uniformly ignite with the propellant", nor were the two elements "in physical contact". Upon a quick review of the claims, and Jorgensen, Examiner agreed, and suggested that a Response to Reconsider be generated. Examiner will re-examine these issues when the response is received.

Ms. Lee S. Lum  
Examiner  
2/9/04